## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| OAK-HEE KIM,               | )                  |
|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Plaintiff,                 | )<br>)<br>Case No. |
| v.                         | ) 20-mc-91611-FD   |
| GEORGE A. O'TOOLE, et al., | )                  |
| Defendants.                | )                  |
|                            | )                  |

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

## SAYLOR, C.J.

Petitioner Oak-Hee Kim is a frequent *pro se* filer who has been enjoined from filing new actions in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts without first obtaining leave of court. *See Kim v. MCAD et al.*, 1:15-cv-12309-WGY, December 5, 2017 Order of Enjoinment (the "Order"). According to the Order, when seeking leave to file, Kim is required to provide a "certification under oath that there is a good faith basis for the proposed filing, and provide reasons supporting the filing." *Id.* 

The petition here recites that "Petition for leave to file documents with certification under oath that there is a good faith basis for the proposed filing to the Court." However, the certification was not under oath (that is, it was not signed under the pains and penalties of perjury). Furthermore, the purported reasons provided in the petition are conclusory assertions and are insufficient to satisfy the requirements of the Order. Furthermore, the proposed complaint is not a "short and plain" statement of claim as required by Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court denies Kim's request to be relieved of the requirement of complying with Rule 8 (and other Federal Rules of Civil Procedure). Finally, and in any event,

Case 1:20-mc-91611-FDS Document 6 Filed 08/02/21 Page 2 of 2

as best the Court can discern the complaint is largely, if not entirely, time-barred, detailing

events stretching back to events that allegedly occurred more than five, and in some cases up to

twenty, years ago.

Accordingly, the petition is hereby DENIED and the matter is DISMISSED. The motion

for appointment of counsel is DENIED as moot. This Miscellaneous Business Docket action is

CLOSED.

So Ordered.

/s/ F. Dennis Saylor IV

F. Dennis Saylor IV

Chief Judge, United States District Court

Dated: August 2, 2021

2